

Title	Role of the intertidal predatory shore crab <i>Carcinus maenas</i> in transmission dynamics of ostreid herpesvirus-1 microvariant
Authors	Bookelaar, Babette E.; O'Reilly, A. J.; Lynch, Sharon A.; Culloty, Sarah C.
Publication date	2018-09-27
Original Citation	Bookelaar, B. E., O'Reilly, A. J., Lynch, S. A. and Culloty, S. C. (2018) 'Role of the intertidal predatory shore crab <i>Carcinus maenas</i> in transmission dynamics of ostreid herpesvirus-1 microvariant', <i>Diseases of Aquatic Organisms</i> , 130(3), pp. 221-233. doi:10.3354/dao03264
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	<a href="https://www.int-res.com/abstracts/dao/v130/n3/p221-233/-10.3354/dao03264">https://www.int-res.com/abstracts/dao/v130/n3/p221-233/ - 10.3354/dao03264</a>
Rights	© 2018, Inter-Research. All rights reserved.
Download date	2023-05-04 20:27:10
Item downloaded from	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10468/7107">http://hdl.handle.net/10468/7107</a>



# UCC

**University College Cork, Ireland**  
 Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

**The role of the intertidal mobile predator and scavenger the shore  
crab *Carcinus maenas* in transmission dynamics of the Pacific oyster  
pathogen ostreid herpesvirus-1 microVar**

B. E. Bookelaar, A. J. O'Reilly, S. A. Lynch, S. C. Culloty,  
Aquaculture and Fisheries Development Centre, School of Biological, Earth and  
Environmental Sciences &  
Environmental Research Institute, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland  
Corresponding author: b.bookelaar@umail.ucc.ie

**SUMMARY**

Ostreid herpesvirus-1 microVar (OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var) has been responsible for significant mortalities globally in the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*. While the impact of this virus on the Pacific oyster has been significant, this pathogen may have wider ecosystem consequences. It has not been definitively determined how the virus is sustaining itself in the marine environment and whether other species are susceptible. *Carcinus maenas* is a mobile predator and scavenger of *C. gigas*, commonly found at Pacific oyster culture sites. The aim of this study was to investigate the role of the crab in viral maintenance and transmission to the Pacific oyster. A field trial took place at different shore heights at two Irish Pacific oyster culture sites, over a summer, that are endemic for OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var. Infection of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var in tissues of *C. maenas* at both shore heights of both sites was detected by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), quantitative PCR (qPCR), *in situ* hybridization and direct Sanger sequencing. In addition, a laboratory trial demonstrated that transmission of the virus could occur to naïve *C. gigas* within four days, from *C. maenas* previously exposed to the virus in the wild. These findings provide some insight into the possibility that the virus can be transmitted through marine food webs

and suggests viral plasticity in the hosts required by the virus and potential impacts on a range of crustacean species with wider ecosystem impacts if transmission to other species occurs.

## KEY WORDS

*Crassostrea gigas*, *Carcinus maenas*, ostreid herpesvirus-1 microvar, pathogen–host–environment interplay, predator-prey, scavenger

## INTRODUCTION

Diseases, parasites and pathogens are common in marine ecosystems (Lafferty et al. 2015) and have a significant impact on fisheries and aquaculture (Willman et al. 2009; Lafferty et al. 2015), as well as the ecology of marine habitats (Harvell et al. 2002). Development of disease is in general due to a complex aetiology including numerous physical, chemical, biological, and ecological interactions. Hence, the environment and its constituents play a significant role in disease transmission (Mydlarz et al. 2006; Degremont 2011), also known as the ‘pathogen–host–environment interplay’ (Engering et al. 2013).

Virus infections in bivalve species have been associated with high mortality rates, when conditions become less favorable for the host species (Rowley et al. 2014). A significant pathogen-host-environment interplay has been observed for the commercially important Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* with ostreid herpesvirus (OsHV-1) and variants, which has resulted in mass mortalities among early life stages of *C. gigas* worldwide (Burge et al. 2007; Lynch et al. 2012; Prado-Alvarez et al. 2016). In particular, nowadays these mortalities have been associated with the variant OsHV-1 microVar (OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var), which is considered highly virulent (Segarra et al. 2010) especially when seawater temperatures reach 16°C and higher (Clegg et al. 2014; Renault et al. 2014; Pernet et al. 2015). The virus has already been proven

to be waterborne in previous studies (Vigneron et al. 2004; Sauvage et al. 2010; Schikorski et al. 2011; Evans et al. 2015). Infected adult oysters may function as carriers and infect naïve spat by vertical transmission (Burge and Friedman 2012) and horizontal transmission between healthy and experimental infected oysters has been observed (Schikorski et al. 2011).

Viral transmission within the marine environment provides a medium that can expose all animals within that habitat to a source of infection. Whether viral transmission occurs solely from primary host to primary host is a key point in understanding those dynamics. However, in other host:pathogen interactions in marine systems a range of species and trophic interactions may play a role in disease transmission, with other animals acting as carriers and reservoirs for pathogens (Lynch et al. 2007; Lynch et al. 2010; Small and Pagenkopp 2011). Carriers or reservoirs have been defined as species that can function as a source of infection. A carrier is seen as an incidental, asymptomatic host and a distributor of infection, while a reservoir can retain the pathogen permanently and transmit it back to the natural host (Haydon et al. 2002; Lynch et al. 2010). Furthermore, in specific scenarios, pathogens and diseases can change their host range by selecting new target species as an alternative host (Howard and Fletcher 2012; Engering et al. 2013; Schrauwen and Fouchier 2014).

Infectious disease outbreaks can occur when carrier species, mostly “non-pathogenic” for the specific pathogen, come in contact with a susceptible host species (Burek et al. 2008). It is important to note that viruses are able to jump host as they have been shown to demonstrate plasticity and rapid evolution in terms of hosts targeted, allowing them to respond to and infect a range of potential hosts in new habitats (Johnson et al. 2015; Geoghegan et al. 2017). It is uncertain if *C. gigas* functions as a single host (Arzul et al. 2001a) as herpes-like virus have been detected in multiple different marine species in the past (Renault 1998; Renault et al.

2000; Arzul et al. 2001a; Arzul et al. 2001b; Renault 2001) and recently also in invertebrates such as the oyster *Crassostrea virginica* (Burge et al. 2011), Mediterranean mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (Burge et al. 2011) and Chinese scallop *Chlamys farreri* (Ren et al. 2013). More recently OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var was detected in the Sydney rock oyster *Saccostrea glomerata*, Sydney cockle *Anadara trapezia*, blue mussels *Mytilus spp.*, hairy mussel *Trichomya hirsuta*, whelks *Batillaria australis* and barnacles *Balanus spp.* (Evans et al. 2017). For most invertebrate species other than oysters infected with herpes-like virus the pathogenic effect is still unknown, however Chinese scallop *Chlamys farreri* suffered mass mortality after infection (Ren et al. 2013) highlighting the potential impact of this virus on its marine environment.

The intertidal zone where *C. gigas* are cultured on trestles contains a range of sessile and mobile filter feeders, scavengers and predators. The European shore crab *Carcinus maenas* is native to the Atlantic coasts of Europe and Northern Africa and is invasive on the west coast of North America, South Africa, Australia and Tasmania (Torchin et al. 2001; Carlton and Cohen 2003). Outside its natural range, *C. maenas* has often been seen as a pest (Lafferty and Kuris 1996) by causing significant ecological and evolutionary impacts, such as altering community structures (Torchin et al. 2002) and by reducing densities of different species of taxa including bivalves, cumaceans and amphipods (Grosholz and Ruiz 1995). *C. maenas* is common at estuarine intertidal habitats (Amaral and Paula 2007) and feeds upon a diverse variety of prey including commercially important species blue mussel *Mytilus edulis* and Pacific oyster seed and juveniles (Lovely et al. 2015). *C. maenas* are known to be attracted to oyster trestles both as a food source and for protection from predation (Lovely et al. 2015). Of significance, *C. maenas* acts as an intermediate host to a number of parasites (Torchin et al. 2001) and may function as a source of infection by transmitting pathogens to predators including birds and fish species and mammals (Bush et al. 1993; Lotz et al. 1995).

101

102 It is accepted that predator–prey interactions might affect disease transmission and alter  
103 different trophic levels in an ecosystem (Marcogliese 1995) and even affect pathogen  
104 persistence in the host species (Hall et al. 2005). It is recognized that predator inhibition or  
105 enhancement of the pathogen is ecosystem specific and needs to be explored independently for  
106 each specific situation (Moore et al. 2010).

107

108 Different routes of entry for diseases and pathogens seem to be possible for *C. maenas*. Firstly,  
109 due to ingestion of disease infected tissue (www<sup>1</sup>) *C. maenas* is a mobile predator feeding upon  
110 Pacific oysters (McManus 1988) and preferentially targeting moribund (and thus potentially  
111 infected) individuals compared to healthy individuals (Moore 2002), resulting in direct take up  
112 of pathogens or diseases. Secondly, disease intake could happen by intraspecific contact of  
113 diseased scavengers and also cannibalism (Moksnes et al. 1998; Moksnes 2004). In addition,  
114 during respiration the gill tissue of *C. maenas* is in direct contact with infected particles in the  
115 water column, and the gills of *C. maenas* are recognized as a selective interface between the  
116 external environment and the internal milieu (www<sup>1</sup>; Henry et al. 2012).

117

118 Differences in crab morphology, like coloration, sexual and life stage migrations are associated  
119 with ecosystem characteristics (Stevens et al. 2014). Within the intertidal zones shore crabs are  
120 well known to be migrants, both on a tidal and seasonal basis (Crothers 1968) with specific  
121 migratory behavior for different size classes and molt stages (Hunter and Naylor 1993). It is  
122 not well known how man-made structures, like oyster trestles and a virus infected culture  
123 species, might influence the natural migration patterns and behavior of *C. maenas*.

124 In this study, disease dynamics involving OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var, *C. gigas* and a mobile scavenger, *C.*  
125 *maenas* was studied at two Irish Pacific oyster culture sites, responsible for the majority of

production of Irish *C. gigas* with a history of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var and having different ecosystem characteristics. The role of *C. maenas* as a potential carrier, reservoir or alternative host of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var was investigated, taking into consideration the potential extension range of the virus in crabs as they migrated up and down the intertidal zone, associated with changing morphological and ecological characteristics during the crab's life cycle. The nature of the role of crabs in viral transmission was determined by laboratory-based trials. The focus of the study was to gain a better understanding of how the virus might sustain itself in the marine environment once introduced into a particular habitat and give a better insight into the potential wider ecosystem impacts of such introductions.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### *(1) Field trial*

#### *Study sites*

Invertebrate sampling took place at two main Irish oyster culture sites, with different habitat structure; Dungarvan, Co. Waterford (52.0936 °N -7.6204°W) and Carlingford Lough, Co. Louth (54.0733°N -6.1994°W), approximately 245 km apart (Figure 1). Both sites are the main areas of production of Irish *C. gigas* and have a history of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var (www<sup>1</sup>) and oyster trestles are held in intertidal area with a tidal cycle of approximately 7-9 hours of emersion depending on neap or spring tides (Oyster farmers Pers. Comm.).

The oyster culture site in Dungarvan is sheltered, being almost closed off by the linear Cunnigar spit to the east (www<sup>2</sup>). Intertidal habitats are dominated by sandflats and it has mudflats at the edge of saltmarsh habitats. The water quality of Dungarvan Harbour varies from moderate to good, representing unpolluted water and acceptable levels of biochemical oxygen demand (EPA 2015). The oyster culture site in Carlingford Lough has a gravelly substrate covered by

3-5cm of muddy silt. Carlingford Lough, fed by the Newry River, has generally shallow waters of 2-5 m. Water quality within the lough is good; mean salinity is 32.5 and the annual temperature varies between 3 - 20°C (www<sup>3</sup>).

Environmental (salinity, pH and temperature) data loggers (Star-Oddi) *in situ* at the oyster trestles were used to measure and record water temperature continuously every hour from the end of May until the end of August 2015 at both sites, however, due to a technical issue with the logger, data was not recorded from the end of June to the end of July at Dungarvan. Average water temperatures were calculated as average temperature per day for the time submerged.

#### *Macroinvertebrate sampling*

Up to 30 crabs were collected randomly on the mid to low shore at the oyster trestles and at the high shore approximately 500 m from the trestles, every two weeks from the end of April until the end of August 2015 to detect possible infection of the virus. At Dungarvan, *C. maenas* were sampled directly from the oyster bags on the trestles approximately 1 foot above the sediment, as no crabs were observed outside the oyster bags. At Carlingford Lough, crabs were sampled outside the oyster bags on the sediment around the trestles. At the high shore at both sites, *C. maenas* were sampled from rock pools and rocky outcrops. In addition, to detect baseline levels of virus in the natural host, at every sampling date, 30 *C. gigas*, originally imported from French hatcheries which were selectively bred for resistance to the virus (Oyster farmers Pers. Comm.), were collected at the oyster trestles at both sites.

In total, 806 crabs and 510 oysters were collected. Dungarvan was sampled nine times, with 60 crabs sampled at the high shore (as it was difficult to find crabs at this location) and 270 crabs and 270 oysters at the trestles. Carlingford Lough was sampled eight times with 238 crabs sampled at the high shore and 238 crabs and 240 oysters at the trestles.



176

177 *Morphometric characteristics of C. maenas*

178 Weight (g) and carapace width (mm) were recorded using a balance scales and vernier calipers.

179 Carapace width was divided into 4 different length classes, Class 1: 9.3-20 mm, Class 2: 20.1-

180 30 mm, Class 3: 30.1-40 mm, Class 4 > 40.1 mm. Weights were divided into 4 different weight

181 classes, Class 1: 0 – 10.0 g, Class 2: 10.1 – 20 g, Class 3: 20.1 - 30 g, Class 4 >30.1 g.

182 Classification of crab carapace colour (brown, green and red)/moult stage and sex was noted

183 by gross visual examination.

184

185 *(2) Laboratory transmission trial of OsHV-1 $\mu$ Var from Carcinus maenas to Crassostrea*  
186 *gigas*

187 A laboratory transmission trial was designed to determine the nature of positive results detected

188 in the wild and to assess the possibility of viral transmission from the crabs to oysters. Naïve

189 *C. gigas* (n=180) with an average weight of 3.4 g and an average length of 31.9 mm, which

190 had never been exposed to OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var and proven to be naïve by the Marine Institute

191 (www<sup>4</sup>), were obtained from a hatchery at New Quay, Galway Bay (53° 09' 16.27" N, 9°04'

192 58.19" W). Crabs with an average weight of 18.5 g and an average carapace width of 40.2 mm

193 were randomly collected from Carlingford Lough in September 2015 where OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var had

194 been detected in oysters and in crabs during the field study. Prior to the start of the trial, 30

195 naïve *C. gigas* and 30 *C. maenas* were screened for OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var by polymerase chain reaction

196 (PCR), to confirm the oysters were uninfected and to determine if the virus could be detected

197 in *C. maenas*. Before placing in tanks, *C. maenas* were washed several times in ddH<sub>2</sub>O to

198 remove any pathogens that may have been incidentally attached to their external body/shell.

199 10 l tanks were filled with 8 l of UV treated seawater. In Ireland, water temperatures often

200 remain below the threshold temperature of 16°C (www<sup>5</sup>) and to imitate natural water

temperatures, a lower temperature was chosen during the laboratory trial. UV filtered natural seawater and animals were held at 14°C in a constant temperature (CT) room with a salinity of 35 ppt. At the start of the trial a water conditioner (1 ml of Aqueon) was used, to keep the water quality to an optimum. The experimental set up consisted of two control tanks each containing 30 naïve oysters and three experimental tanks, which contained 30 naïve oysters and 10 virus-exposed crabs each. The trial ran for 14 days. The tanks were checked twice a day for mortality (open shells) and dead individuals were removed and screened for OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var if tissue was present and of a suitable quality, but no tissues could be recovered for screening from these animals due to predation. After day 2 (48 hours), Day 4 (96 hours), Day 7 (168 hours) and Day 11 (264 hours), living oysters (n=3) were arbitrarily selected from the tanks each time to screen for OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var. All individuals, oysters and crabs, still alive at the end of the experiment were removed and screened for OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var.

### ***Molecular diagnostic screening***

#### ***DNA extraction***

Gill and internal tissues made up of connective, digestive and reproductive tissues of both oysters and crabs were stored in 70% ethanol for DNA extraction. Prior to extraction, tissues were washed in double deionized water (ddH<sub>2</sub>O) thoroughly and blot dried using tissue paper. DNA extraction was performed using the Chelex-100 methodology. Tissue samples from the invertebrates (approx. 5mm<sup>2</sup>) were placed in a 10% chelex solution (100 microlitres volume) (Sigma Aldrich) and following the samples were placed in a thermo Hybaid thermal cycler for 1 hour and 10 minutes heated at 99°C to facilitate cell lysis (Walsh et al.1991). To avoid false negatives, a subsample of DNA samples (n=30) were checked for DNA quantity and quality by using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer following protocol T042-TECHNICAL BULLETIN NanoDrop Spectrophotometers (www<sup>6</sup>). From the samples collected from

Dungarvan during the field trial, DNA was extracted from 330 individual *C. maenas* with (330 gill and 330 internal tissues being screened) from those crabs and 270 *C. gigas* were sampled (270 gill tissues only) being screened. DNA was extracted from 476 *C. maenas* (476 gill and 476 internal tissues screened) and 240 *C. gigas* (240 gill tissues screened) in Carlingford Lough. For the laboratory trial, DNA was extracted from 58 *C. maenas* (58 gill and 58 internal tissues screened) and for 137 *C. gigas* (137 gill tissues screened).

### *Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)*

For all samples collected in the field and laboratory trial standard PCR to detect OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var was performed following the protocol of Lynch et al. (2013) by using OHVA/OHVB primers. All PCRs used a total of 2  $\mu$ L genomic DNA template per individual. Expected size of amplified PCR products for OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var was 385 bp and PCR was carried out in 25  $\mu$ L containing 12.9  $\mu$ L ddH<sub>2</sub>O, 5  $\mu$ L, 5 $\times$  buffer, 5  $\mu$ L dNTPs (0.2 mM), 0.5  $\mu$ L MgCl<sub>2</sub> (25 mM stock), 0.25  $\mu$ L of each primer (100 pmol mL<sup>-1</sup> stock) and 0.1  $\mu$ L Taq DNA polymerase. Positive controls (duplicate) consisting of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var infected oyster tissue and negative controls (duplicate) of double distilled water (ddH<sub>2</sub>O) were used for each PCR. Thermo cycling conditions were performed by initial denaturation of 1 min of 95 °C, following by 35 cycles including a denaturation step of 20 seconds at 94 °C, an annealing step of 30 seconds at 56 °C and an elongation step at 72 °C and finishing with a final elongation step of 7 minutes at 72 °C by using a thermo Hybaid PCR express thermal cycler (Lynch et al. 2013). Presence of amplified PCR products was confirmed by electrophoresis using a 2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide (10mg/l stock) and was run with an electrical charge of 110V for 45-60 minutes.

### *Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)*

Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was carried out to determine the viral load of samples deemed

positive for OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var by PCR, on a subsample of *C. maenas* collected in the field trial (n=43) and *C. maenas* (n=24) and *C. gigas* (n=5) in the laboratory trial, following the protocol “<http://www.eurl-mollusc.eu/content/download/42545/578238/file/OsHV->” (www<sup>7</sup>) using primers HVDP-F and HVDP-R (Webb et al. 2007). All qPCRs used a total of 5  $\mu$ L genomic DNA template per individual (duplicate). The qPCR mix was carried out in 25  $\mu$ L containing 12.5  $\mu$ L 2 x Brilliant Sybr Green® Q PCR Master Mix, 2.5  $\mu$ L HVDP-F (5 $\mu$ M) and 2.5  $\mu$ L HVDP-R ( $\mu$ M) primers and 2.5  $\mu$ L ddH<sub>2</sub>O. Standards were used to detect the exact amount of viral copies  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup> of genomic DNA in tested samples. Standard curves were prepared by diluting a viral DNA suspension of 10<sup>8</sup> viral copies  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup> of genomic DNA of OsHV-1. Q PCR plates included 5 dilutions of 10<sup>5</sup>, 10<sup>4</sup>, 10<sup>3</sup>, 10<sup>2</sup> and 10<sup>1</sup> viral copies  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup> of genomic DNA. Negative controls (duplicate) of double distilled water (ddH<sub>2</sub>O) were used for each qPCR. Thermo cycling conditions were performed by initial denaturation of 2 min of 50 °C and 10 min at 95°C, following by 40 cycles of 15 seconds at 95°C and 1 min at 60 °C and a melt curve of 95°C for 15 seconds, 60 °C for 1 minute, 95°C for 30 seconds and 60 °C for 15 seconds by using a thermo Hybaid PCR express thermal cycler (www<sup>7</sup>).

#### *In situ hybridization (ISH) with DIG labelled probe*

*In situ* hybridization (ISH) was carried out to detect the viral genome within different tissue sections of virus infected individuals. For each individual collected in this study, a section of internal tissue including gills, digestive and reproductive organs, were removed for histological analysis and immediately fixed in Davidson’s solution at 4 °C for 24-48 h after which they were placed in 70% ethanol. *In situ* hybridization assays were carried out on *C. gigas* and *C. maenas* from the field trial screened negative (n=3 per species) and positive (n=3 per species) for OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var by PCR. Samples were processed (Shandon Citadel 1000) and sectioned to 7  $\mu$ m tissue thickness. ISH was carried out using a digoxigenin (DIG)-labelled probe (Lynch

et al. 2010). Sections were viewed and viral cells were noted with a Nikon Eclipse 80i and images were captured using NIS elements software (at 100×, 200× and 400×).

#### *Direct Sequencing*

Direct Sanger sequencing of DNA of PCR products (385-bp) amplified in *C. maenas* from the field trial (n=3 gill tissues and n=3 internal tissues) was carried out to confirm OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var detection. DNA was isolated from PCR products of separate tissues (pooled 4 replicates per tissue to increase the DNA concentration). Qiagen Qiaquick gel extraction kit was used to isolate and clean up the DNA, prior to direct sequencing of both forward and reverse strands of DNA by Eurofins MWG. Sequences were matched by BLASTn nucleotide database (<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>) to confirm true infection of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var.

#### **Statistical analyses**

Statistical analyses were performed in Statistical model program R studio (R core team 2013). Normality was tested using the Shapiro-Wilks Normality test. A Mann Whitney test was used to determine if there was a significant difference between the mean weight and mean carapace width between the sites. Pearsons Chi-squared tests were used to compare sex and colour between sites and within sites at the two shore heights and to test for differences in prevalence of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var within gill and internal tissue for crab length classes, weight classes, sex and colour/moult stage. For all analyses, a critical value of 0.05 was used to confirm significant results. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error.

## **RESULTS**

### **(1) Field trial**

*Prevalence of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var in Crassostrea gigas*

Herpes virus was detected in oysters at both sites during the study period. Overall prevalence of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var detected by PCR in oysters at the two sites for the duration of the field trial was low with a mean prevalence of 3.75% at both sites, with a range in prevalence of 0-27% at Dungarvan and a range of 0-23% at Carlingford Lough. However, the mean temperature over the study period was 15.0°C for Dungarvan and 14.2 °C for Carlingford Lough, with the overall water temperature during summer 2015 being low, rarely reaching temperatures of 16 °C or higher (Figure 2). At the farms, a tidal cycle of approximately 7-9 hours of emersion depending on neap or spring tides are common (Oyster farmers, pers comm) and therefore the sites were exposed to higher temperatures during low tides. Periods of air temperature above 16 °C were measured from the end of May (www<sup>8</sup>). Significant difference in prevalence between months were observed for both Dungarvan ( $P < 0.01$ ) and Carlingford Lough ( $P < 0.01$ ) with highest prevalence in June for both sites.

*Crab morphometrics*

*C. maenas* were significantly larger ( $P < 0.01$ ) and heavier ( $P < 0.01$ ) at Carlingford compared with Dungarvan (Table 1). All four carapace classes were present at both locations and shore heights, with crabs at Carlingford Lough having significantly larger carapace widths compared to crabs at Dungarvan ( $P < 0.01$ ). Within Dugarvan, larger crabs were significantly more abundant at the trestles ( $P < 0.01$ ), while no significant difference in carapace widths was observed between crabs at the trestles and high shore in Carlingford Lough ( $P > 0.05$ ). All four crab weight classes were present in Carlingford lough while three weight classes were observed at Dungarvan, no significant differences were found for weight classes between high shore and trestles. A significantly higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) female-male ratio of 1:0.6 in Carlingford Lough was observed relative to 1:1 in Dungarvan. Within each site, no significant difference in female-

male ratio was observed between the high shore and trestles. Green, brown and red coloured *C. maenas* were observed at Dungarvan and Carlingford Lough. At Dungarvan, green, recently moulted crabs were most abundant, followed by brown and red (1:4.1:2.2 for red:green:brown crabs), while at Carlingford Lough brown crabs were most common, followed by green and red crabs (1:1.9:2.0 for red:green:brown crabs). Colour ratio did differ significantly between both culture sites ( $P < 0.01$ ). Within sites only a significant difference within coloration was observed between high shore and trestle at Carlingford Lough ( $P < 0.01$ ), with significantly more green crabs at the trestles and brown crabs at the high shore.

#### *Viral detection in C. maenas*

OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var was detected in *C. maenas* during the entire five-month field trial at both culture sites (Figure 3). The mean prevalence of infection in both tissues of *C. maenas* was higher at Dungarvan at 18.3% ( $n=121/660$ ) compared to Carlingford Lough with 16.3% ( $n=155/952$ ), but not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ). The overall prevalence of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var in the screened tissues of *C. maenas* for both sites combined was 17.1% ( $n=276/1612$ ). For those infected tissues, the virus was detected only in gill tissue in 89.9% ( $n=241/268$ ) of the crabs, in only the internal tissue of the crabs in 7.1% ( $n=19/268$ ) of animals and was observed in both gill and internal tissues in 3.0% ( $n=8/276$ ) of crabs. This pattern was present at both shore heights in Dungarvan and Carlingford Lough (Table 2). qPCR analyses indicated different viral loads for a subsample ( $n= 43$ ) of the crabs' gill tissue and internal tissue, screened positive initially with PCR. Overall the viral load was low, with up to 100 viral copies  $\mu\text{l}^{-1}$  of genomic DNA in most crabs ( $n=36$ ) screened by qPCR, while some individuals ( $n=7$ ) had higher viral DNA load, with the highest load detected being  $>10^4$  viral copies  $\mu\text{l}^{-1}$  of genomic DNA (Table 3). One forward and one reverse DNA sequence was generated from one sample of *C. maenas* in the Direct sequencing. After sequencing of the PCR products, BLASTn analysis showed a

match with an average of 96% (94-98%) similarity and 99% identity with OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var (KU861511.1) for the sequence of the PCR-amplified products for *C. maenas*. *In situ* hybridization staining of crab (digestive and connective internal tissues) and oyster tissue sections resulted in a positive signal for OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var in PCR-positive crabs (Figure 4A, 4B, 4C) and oysters, while PCR-negative crabs (Figure 4D) and oysters indicated no staining (i.e. no infection) in any tissue.

No temporal pattern was observed for OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var prevalence in both tissue groups, however prevalence in gill tissue was significantly lower in April compared with all other sampling months (May, June, July and August ( $P < 0.05$ )) for both sites. Patterns in prevalence between sites at high shore and lower shore for different tissue groups at Carlingford and Dungarvan only showed significantly higher prevalence of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var in the internal tissues of crabs at the trestles ( $P < 0.01$ ) compared to higher shore.

OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var was detected in all length and weight classes sampled at both sites and shore heights. No clear trend was found for the prevalence of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var in the crab gill and internal tissues for the different length classes and weight classes. No significant difference was observed for different carapace width classes, different weight classes and crab tissue screened. Females showed a higher prevalence in gill (33.7%) and internal (4.9%) tissues compared with males (gill (28.4%) and internal of (2.6%)) however these results were not significant (gill;  $P > 0.05$  and internal;  $P > 0.05$ ). Significant differences in the colouration/moult stage of *C. maenas* and the prevalence of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var were found for gill tissue detection ( $P < 0.05$ ) with the highest prevalence being observed in recently moulted green crabs (37.5%), followed by brown crabs (30%) and red crabs (26.6%), however for internal tissue no significant differences were observed for the different coloured individuals



and OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var prevalence ( $P > 0.05$ ). This pattern was mainly observed at the trestles and not at the high shore.

## **(2) Laboratory transmission trial of OsHV-1 $\mu$ Var from *Carcinus maenas* to *Crassostrea gigas***

In the initial sample screening, oysters were uninfected with OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var as expected, while *C. maenas* (only gill tissues) showed a low prevalence of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var ( $<10\%$ ) (Table 4), with an average of  $1.1 \times 10^1$  viral copies  $\mu\text{l}^{-1}$  of genomic DNA.

All oysters in the two control tanks were still alive at the end of the trial. Oysters of one of the two control tanks ( $n=30$ ) were screened for prevalence of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var by PCR on the last day of the trial. All control individuals were negative for OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var. In experimental tanks, total mortality observed in *C. gigas* was  $14.4\%$  ( $n=13$  out of  $90$  /  $n=8$  in tank 1,  $n=1$  in tank 2,  $n=4$  in tank 3) exposed to *C. maenas*, while *C. maenas* itself had very low mortalities with  $<10\%$  ( $n=2$  out of  $30$  /  $n=1$  in tank 1,  $n=1$  in tank 2,  $n=0$  in tank 3). Cumulative mortality of *C. gigas* taking into account removal of 3 oysters per tank at day 2 (48 hours), Day 4 (96 hours), Day 7 (168 hours) and Day 11 (264 hours) ( $n=36$ ) was  $<25\%$  ( $n=13/54$ ) (Figure 5). Despite daily screening of the tanks, open shells were counted and removed to assess mortality but the tissues in these shells were either too degraded for screening or had been removed by crab predation. As a result, infection levels in these 14 dead oysters could not be assessed and only live *C. gigas* were screened. In addition, no tissue of the two dead crabs was left, possibly due to cannibalism. In the *C. gigas* experimental tanks, the first positive signal of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var occurred within 96 hours. After screening all experimental oysters, *C. gigas* showed a OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var prevalence of  $6.5\%$  ( $n=5$  out of  $77$ ) with up to  $1.2 \times 10^2$  viral copies  $\mu\text{l}^{-1}$  of genomic DNA. The viral prevalence in *C. maenas* gill tissue was  $75\%$  ( $n=21$  out of  $28$ ) with greater

than  $1.0 \times 10^4$  viral copies  $\mu\text{l}^{-1}$  of genomic DNA, no screened internal tissue showed infection (Table 4).

## DISCUSSION

The study demonstrated that *C. maenas* can become infected with OsHV-1  $\mu\text{Var}$  by using a range of protocols recommended by OIE including PCR, qPCR and *In Situ* hybridization ([www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health\\_standards/aahm/current/chapitre\\_ostreid\\_herpesvirus\\_1.pdf](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/aahm/current/chapitre_ostreid_herpesvirus_1.pdf)). Although, we did not use the primer pairs as described in the OIE protocol, we were using primer pairs that we or colleagues have successfully developed and have previously had published,; PCR (Lynch et al. 2013) qPCR (Webb et al. 2007), ISH (Lynch et al. 2010).

This study indicates that the green shore crab *C. maenas*, an important mobile scavenger and predator in the intertidal area, can act as a carrier, reservoir and alternative host of oyster herpesvirus, demonstrating that introduction of a virus through anthropogenic input, can have long-term and widespread ecosystem impacts, as the virus spreads amongst other cohabiting species. OsHV-1  $\mu\text{Var}$  was detected in *C. maenas* at both culture sites and both shore heights, in all moult stages, crab sizes and in both crab sexes. While a seasonal effect could not be determined as the study concentrated on the summer months when viral impact is most pronounced, the virus was detected in *C. maenas* throughout the five-month study period. Highest prevalence of OsHV-1  $\mu\text{Var}$  in the primary host, *C. gigas*, was detected in June at both sites. The low herpesvirus (<5%) prevalence observed in *C. gigas*, might be due to the unfavorable ambient temperatures with temperatures generally below 16 °C during the study (Petton et al. 2013; Renault et al. 2014). Additionally, oysters selectively bred for resistance to the virus were used at the field trial in this study (Dégremont 2011) as this was what the farmers were culturing. As a scavenger, it is likely that *C. maenas* would preferentially target moribund (and thus potentially infected) *C. gigas* compared to healthy oysters (Moore 2002) and therefore possibly

build up the virus while the abundance of infected *C. gigas* would decrease.

Although precautionary measures were taken in this study to wash and remove any incidental occurrence of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var on crab gill tissue, more detection of virus occurred in the gills compared to internal tissues, which suggests that the virus is not incidental on the gills and that the virus is being internalized in the tissue. In addition, ISH analyses in this study confirmed the positive detection OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var internally in *C. maenas* digestive tissues, whereas Direct Sequencing confirmed OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var within gill and connective *C. maenas* tissues. Higher prevalence in gills may indicate that crabs are being exposed via respiration rather than through feeding routes when initial exposure is occurring. With a widespread distribution of crabs around oyster trestles, with associated viral dispersion in the seawater (Schikorski et al. 2011), exposure in this way might be a likely first mode of uptake for crabs. Moreover, lower internal infection of *C. maenas* might be the result of low infection of *C. gigas*, in this case the virus is not ingested by predation by crabs and less likely to migrate throughout internal tissues. The nature of the infection in crabs may differ to that observed in oysters with localization of the virus in crabs more likely in gills than dispersed throughout the connective tissues as observed in oysters.

While crab size and sex did not have any significant effect on the prevalence of the virus in the crabs, coloration/moult stage did, with green recently moulted crabs have a slightly higher level of virus. This may suggest that this phase of the life cycle makes the animals more susceptible to infection, possibly due to easier access to tissues, or crabs being more immunocompromised during this phase.

The presence of the trestles, providing protection from predators, a readily available food supply in the form of diseased and dying oysters and acting as a nursery site for *C. maenas*

replacing the high shore intertidal pools (Pers. Obs), might result in abnormal behavior in *C. maenas*, which would have an impact on ecosystem dynamics. Previous studies observed *C. maenas* varying from 25 to 55 mm in carapace width in intertidal areas in the UK (Dare et al. 1983), with smaller individuals found at high shore sites, and older *C. maenas* found lower down the shore (Hunter and Naylor 1993) and actively feeding upon *C. gigas* when they were present (Dare et al. 1983). Indeed, in Dungarvan, differences in size and weight of *C. maenas* was observed between shore heights, with larger and heavier individuals at lower shore (trestles). Also, in agreement with a previous natural behavioral study of *C. maenas* (Hunter and Naylor 1993), a significantly higher abundance of males was observed at the high shore in Dungarvan. Those normal behavioral and migration patterns were missing at Carlingford Lough, with juvenile *C. maenas* being observed in and around oyster trestles at high shore. It is important to note that at Carlingford Lough, random oyster bags were found at high shore and therefore highly likely to have altered normal behavioral and migration patterns of *C. maenas*. Other studies have noted the attraction of juvenile *C. maenas* to Pacific oyster trestles. A recent study that took place at Kingston Bay, Massachusetts (USA), a OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var free site, where *C. maenas* is a non-native species, showed a significantly higher numbers of juvenile *C. maenas* (1-15 mm CW) within mesh grow-out bags with oyster shells or living oysters compared to mesh grow-out bags without oyster shells at the high intertidal area (Lovely et al. 2015). *C. maenas* are known to moult all year around (Naylor 1962) and previous studies found green, brown and red coloured crabs at all sites and both shore heights (Lovely et al. 2015). This supports the findings of our study, all crab moult stages and corresponding carapace coloration were found during the sampling period at both shore heights.

In our transmission trial, first infection of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var in naïve *C. gigas* was detected after 4 days. Even though the temperature was held below the associated activation threshold

476 temperature of 16 °C, a total prevalence of 6.5% OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var was detected in *C. gigas* after  
477 14 days. This suggests that the virus, at nonfavorable temperatures, could be maintained in the  
478 system by other marine species, like *C. maenas*, acting as a carrier and transmitting it to host  
479 species *C. gigas*. Transmission of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var to naïve *C. gigas* might have been a result of  
480 direct contact between *C. maenas* and *C. gigas* or through filtration of virus particles in the  
481 water or faeces excreted by *C. maenas*. The higher prevalence of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var in gills of  
482 experimental crabs (75%) after 14 days compared with the initial sample (10%) might be the  
483 result of reactivation of the virus due to stress of transport and artificial settings. *C. gigas*  
484 showed a cumulative mortality rate up to 25%, however it was not possible to screen dead *C.*  
485 *gigas* as though tanks were checked twice daily there was no tissue left in those dead animals.  
486 Therefore, it cannot be determined if *C. maenas* had predated on live animals or scavenged  
487 tissues when the oysters were moribund. Due to this, infection of *C. gigas* might have been  
488 underestimated as it could not be determined if those dead animals were infected or not. No  
489 virus was detected within internal tissues of *C. maenas*, suggesting that migration of virus from  
490 gills to internal tissues needs longer, only occurs through other transmission routes (e.g.  
491 ingestion) or that infection in the crab shows different patterns of viral presence in the tissues.  
492 Abnormal mortalities of *C. gigas* have been associated with viral loads of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var higher  
493 than  $10^4$  DNA copies  $\text{mg}^{-1}$  (Schikorski et al. 2011; Pernet et al. 2012). These high viral loads  
494 were detected in a small percentage of living *C. maenas* in our experimental laboratory study,  
495 however mortalities in *C. maenas* remained low (<10%). The transmission trial was performed  
496 under threshold temperature of 16 °C, to imitate natural summers in Ireland. Keeping in mind  
497 climate change, for future transmission experiments between crabs and oysters, it would be of  
498 interest to choose higher temperatures and investigate the difference in transmission dynamics.  
499 In addition, to gain better understanding of the viral dynamics between the species and  
500 migration of the virus within crabs it would be of interest to perform new experiments in the

future by exposing highly infected oysters with naïve crabs.

The results of this study suggest that OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var is highly adaptable and when the odds are in favour of the host i.e. when seawater temperatures are cooler and when disease resistant oysters are present, OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var will sustain itself in the ecosystem outside the host species for a long period of time and can “species jump” to *C. maenas*. The pathogenicity of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var to *C. maenas* is not known and further studies would be required to elucidate the impact of the virus on *C. maenas* in the intertidal zone, however, due to *C. maenas*’s mobility a greater geographic range extension of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var is likely. Our results suggest that man-made structures like oyster trestles might have an effect on the ecology of *C. maenas* facilitating the trophic transfer of OsHV-1  $\mu$ Var within marine ecosystems, in particular, to cohabiting top predator species of crabs such as fish and bird species.

#### AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

BB, SL and SC conceived the ideas and designed methodology; BB and AO collected the data; BB analyzed the data; BB led the writing of the manuscript with contributions and corrections from SL and SC. All authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was carried out with financial assistance from the REPOSUS Project “Reducing the impact of Pathogens and disease in the Irish Oyster industry to support the sustainability and growth of the sector” funded under FIRM by Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine (DAFM) 14 SF 820. The authors would like to thank the shellfish growers for providing

material and their time for the study and for allowing access to their culture sites. The Marine Institute and Bord Iascaigh Mhara (BMI) provided sea water temperature raw data.

## REFERENCES

Amaral V, Paula J (2007) *Carcinus maenas* (Crustacea: Brachyura): Influence of artificial substrate type and patchiness on estimation of megalopae settlement. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 346, 21–27.

Arzul I, Renault T, Lipart C, Davison AJ (2001a.) Evidence for interspecies transmission of oyster herpesvirus in marine bivalves. *J Gen Virol.* 82, 865-870.

Arzul I, Nicolas JL, Davison AJ, Renault T (2001b.) French Scallops: A New Host for Ostreid herpes virus-1. *Virology.* 290, 2, 342–349.

Burek KA, Gulland FMD, O'Hara TM (2008) Effects of climate change on arctic marine mammal health. *Ecol Appl.* 18, 126–134.

Burge CA, Judah LR, Conquest LL, Griffin FJ, Cheney DP, Suhrbier A, Vadopalas B, Olin PG, Renault T, Friedman CS (2007) Summer seed mortality of the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas* Thunberg grown in Tomales Bay, California, USA: the influence of oyster stock, planting time, pathogens, and environmental stressors. *J. shellfish res.* 26, 163–172.

548 Burge CA, Streng RE, Friedman CS (2011) Detection of the oyster herpesvirus in commercial  
 549 bivalves in northern California, USA: conventional and quantitative PCR. *Dis Aquat Organ.*  
 550 94, 107–116.  
 551  
 552 Burge CA, Friedman CS (2012) Quantifying ostreid herpesvirus (OsHV-1) genome copies and  
 553 expression during transmission. *Microb. Ecol.* 63, 596–604.  
 554  
 555 Bush AO, Heard RW JR, Overstreet RM (1993) Intermediate hosts as source communities.  
 556 *Can J Zool.* 71, 1358–1363.  
 557  
 558 Carlton JT, Cohen AN (2003) Episodic global dispersal in shallow water marine organisms:  
 559 The case history of the European shore crabs *Carcinus maenas* and *C. aestuarii*. *J. Biogeogr.*  
 560 30, 12, 1809.  
 561  
 562 Clegg TA, Morrissey T, Geoghegan F, Martin SW, Lyons K, Ashe S, More SJ (2014) Risk  
 563 factors associated with increased mortality of farmed Pacific oysters in Ireland during  
 564 2011. *Prev Vet Med.* 113, 257-267.  
 565  
 566 Crothers JH (1968) The biology of the shore crab *Carcinus maenas* (L.) 1. The background-  
 567 anatomy, growth and life history. *Field Stud.* 2, 407-434.  
 568  
 569 Dare PJ, Davies G, Edwards DB (1983) Predation on juvenile Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea*  
 570 *gigas* Thunberg) and mussels (*Mytilus edulis* L.) by shore crabs (*Carcinus meanas* (L)).  
 571 Fisheries Research Technical Report. Lowesoft, 73, 15.  
 572



573 Dégremont L (2011) Evidence of herpesvirus (OsHV-1) resistance in juvenile *Crassostrea*  
 574 *gigas* selected for high resistance to the summer mortality phenomenon. *Aquaculture*. 317,  
 575 94–98.  
 576

577 Engering A, Hogerwerf L, Slingenbergh J (2013) Pathogen–host–environment interplay and  
 578 disease emergence. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* 2, 5.  
 579

580 EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) (2015). Water quality in Ireland 2010 – 2012.  
 581 [www.epa.ie](http://www.epa.ie) accessed last on 21/02/2017.  
 582

583 Evans O, Hick P, Dhand N, Whittington RJ (2015) Transmission of Ostreid herpesvirus-1 in  
 584 *Crassostrea gigas* by cohabitation: effects of food and number of infected donor oysters. *Aquac.*  
 585 *Environ. Interact.* 7, 281–295.  
 586

587 Evans O, Paul-Pont I, Whittington RJ (2017) Detection of ostreid herpesvirus 1 microvariant  
 588 DNA in aquatic invertebrate species, sediment and other samples collected from the Georges  
 589 River estuary, New South Wales, Australia. *Dis Aquat Organ.* 122, 247–255.  
 590

591 Grosholz ED, Ruiz GM (1995) Spread and potential impact of the recently introduced  
 592 European green crab, *Carcinus maenas*, in central California. *Mar. Biol.* 122, 2, 239–247.  
 593

594 Geoghegan JL, Duchêne S, Holmes EC (2017) Comparative analysis estimates the relative  
 595 frequencies of co-divergence and cross-species transmission within viral families. *PLoS*  
 596 *Pathog.* 13, 2.

597 Hall SR, Duffy MA, Caceres CE (2005) Selective predation and productivity jointly drive  
598 complex behavior in host–parasite systems. *Am Nat.* 165, 70–81.  
599

600 Harvell CD, Mitchell CE, Ward JR, Altizer S, Dobson AP, Ostfeld RS, Samuel MD (2002)  
601 Climate Warming and Disease Risks for Terrestrial and Marine Biota. *Science.* 296, 5576,  
602 2158-2162.  
603

604 Haydon DT, Cleaveland S, Taylor LH, Laurenson MK (2002) Identifying reservoirs of  
605 infection: a conceptual and practical challenge. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 8, 1468–1473.  
606

607 Hedrick RP (1998) Relationships of the host, pathogen, and environment: implications for  
608 diseases of cultured and wild fish populations. *Journal of Aquatic Animal Health.* 10, 107–111.  
609

610 Henry RP, Lucu C, Onken H, Weihrauch D (2012) Multiple functions of the crustacean gill:  
611 osmotic/ionic regulation, acid-base balance, ammonia excretion, and bioaccumulation of toxic  
612 metals. *Front Physio.* 3, 431.  
613

614 Howard CR, Fletcher NF (2012) Emerging virus diseases: can we ever expect the unexpected?  
615 *Emerg Microbes Infect.* 1.  
616

617 Hunter E, Naylor E (1993) Intertidal migration by the shore crab *Carcinus maenas*. *Mar. Ecol.*  
618 *Prog. Ser.* 101, 131-138.  
619

620 Johnson CK, Hitchens PL, Smiley Evans T, Goldstein T, Thomas K, Clements A, Joly DO,  
 621 Wolfe ND, Daszak P, Karesh WB, Mazet JK (2015) Spillover and pandemic properties of  
 622 zoonotic viruses with high host plasticity. *Scientific Reports* 5, Article number: 14830.  
 623  
 624 Lafferty KD, Harvell CD, Conrad JM, Friedman CS, Kent ML, Kuris AM, Powell EN,  
 625 Rondeau D, Saksida SM (2015) Infectious Diseases Affect Marine Fisheries and Aquaculture  
 626 Economics. *Ann Rev Mar Sci.* 7, 471 -496.  
 627  
 628 Lotz JM, Bush AO, Font WF (1995) Recruitment-driven, spatially discontinuous communities:  
 629 a null model for transferred patterns in target communities of intestinal helminths. *J Parasitol*  
 630 *Res.* 81, 12–24.  
 631  
 632 Lovely CM, O'Connor NJ, Judge ML (2015) Abundance of non-native crabs in intertidal  
 633 habitats of New England with natural and artificial structure. *PeerJ* 3:e1246; DOI  
 634 10.7717/peerj.1246  
 635  
 636 Lynch SA, Armitage D, Wylde S, Culloty SC, Mulcahy M (2007) The possible role of benthic  
 637 macroinvertebrates and zooplankton in the life cycle of the haplosporidian *Bonamia*  
 638 *ostreae*. *Exp Parasitol.* 115, 359-368.  
 639  
 640 Lynch SA, Abollo E, Ramilo A, Cao A, Culloty SC, Villalba A (2010) 'Observations raise the  
 641 question if the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* can act as either a carrier or a reservoir for  
 642 *Bonamia ostreae* or *Bonamia exitiosa*'. *Parasitology.* 137, 10, 1515-1526.  
 643

644 Lynch SA, O'Reilly A, Cotter E, Carlsson J, Culloty SC (2012) A previously undescribed ostreid  
645 herpes virus (OsHV-1) genotype detected in the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*, in Ireland.  
646 Parasitology. 139, 1526-1532.

647

648 Lynch SA, Dillane E, Carlsson J, Culloty SC (2013) Development and assessment of a  
649 sensitive and cost-effective polymerase chain reaction to detect ostreid herpesvirus 1 and  
650 variants. J. shellfish res. 32, 1-8.

651

652 Marcogliese DJ (1995) The role of zooplankton in the transmission of helminth parasites to  
653 fish. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries. 5. 336–371.

654

655 McManus JP (1988) A study of the *Ostrea edulis* L. population in the North Channel, Cork  
656 Harbour. MSc Thesis. Department of Zoology, University College Cork.

657

658 Moksnes PO, Pihl L, Montfrans van J (1998) Predation on postlarvae and juveniles of the shore  
659 crab *Carcinus maenas*: importance of shelter, size and cannibalism. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 66,  
660 211-225.

661

662 Moksnes PO (2004) Self-regulating mechanisms in cannibalistic populations of juvenile shore  
663 crabs *Carcinus maenas*. Ecology. 85, 5, 1343-1354.

664

665 Moore J (2002) Parasites and The Behaviour of Animals. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

666

667 Moore SM, Borer ET, Hosseini PR (2010) Predators indirectly control vectorborne disease:  
668 linking predator–prey and host–pathogen models. J. R. Soc. Interface. 7, 161–176.

669 Mydlarz LD, Jones LE, Harvell CD (2006) Innate immunity, environmental drivers, and  
 670 disease ecology of marine and freshwater invertebrates. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 37, 251-  
 671 288.

672

673 Naylor E (1962) Seasonal Changes in a Population of *Carcinus maenas* (L.) in the Littoral  
 674 Zone. *J Anim Ecol.* 31, 3, 601-609.

675

676 Pernet F, Barret J, Le Gall P, Corporeau C, Dégremont L, Legarde F, Pépin J-F, Keck N (2012)  
 677 Mass mortalities of Pacific oysters *Crassostrea gigas* reflect infectious diseases and vary with  
 678 farming practices in the Mediterranean Thau lagoon, France. *Aquacult Environ Interact* 2,  
 679 215–237.

680

681 Pernet F, Tamayo D, Petton B (2015) Influence of low temperatures on the survival of the  
 682 Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) infected with Ostreid herpesvirus type 1. *Aquaculture.* 445,  
 683 57-62.

684

685 Petton B, Pernet F, Robert R, Boudry P (2013) Temperature influence on pathogen  
 686 transmission and subsequent mortalities in juvenile Pacific oysters *Crassostrea gigas*. *Aquac*  
 687 *Environ Interact.* 3, 257-273.

688

689 Prado-Alvarez M, Darmody G, Hutton S, O'Reilly A, Lynch SA, Culloty SC (2016)  
 690 Occurrence of OsHV-1 in *Crassostrea gigas* Cultured in Ireland during an Exceptionally Warm  
 691 Summer. Selection of Less Susceptible Oysters. *Front Physiol.* 7, 492.

692

693 R Core Team (2013) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation  
 694 for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria.

695

696 Ren W, Chen H, Renault T, Cai Y, Bai C, Wang C, Huang J (2013) Complete genome  
 697 sequence of acute viral necrosis virus associated with massive mortality outbreaks in the  
 698 Chinese scallop, *Chlamys farreri*. *Virolog J.* 10-110.

699

700 Renault T (1998) Infections herpétiques chez les invertébrés: détection de virus de type herpes  
 701 chez les mollusques bivalves marins. *Virologie.* 2, 401–403.

702

703 Renault T, Le Deuff RM, Chollet B, Cochenne N, Gérard A (2000) Concomitant herpes-like  
 704 virus infections among hatchery-reared larvae and nursery-cultured spat *Crassostrea gigas* and  
 705 *Ostrea edulis*. *Dis Aquat Organ.* 42, 173–183.

706

707 Renault T, Lipart C, Arzul I (2001) A herpes-like virus infects a non-ostreid bivalve species:  
 708 virus replication in *Ruditapes philippinarum* larvae. *Dis Aquat Organ.* 45, 1-7.

709

710 Renault T, Bouquet AL, Maurice J-T, Lupo C, Blachier P (2014) Ostreid Herpesvirus 1  
 711 Infection among Pacific Oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) Spat: Relevance of Water Temperature to  
 712 Virus Replication and Circulation Prior to the Onset of Mortality. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 80,  
 713 5419-5426.

714

715 Rowley AF, Cross ME, Culloty SC, Lynch SA, Mackenzie CL, Morgan E, O’Riordan RM,  
 716 Robins PE, Smith AL, Thrupp TJ, Vogan CL, Wootton EC, Malham SK (2014) The potential

717 impact of climate change on the infectious diseases of commercially important shellfish  
718 populations in the Irish Sea—a review. *ICES J Mar Sci*.  
719

720 Sauvage C, Boudry P, De Koning DJ, Haley CS, Heurtebise S, Lapegue S (2010) QTL for  
721 resistance to summer mortality and OsHV-1 load in the Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*).  
722 *Anim. Genet.* 41, 390–399.  
723

724 Schikorski D, Faury N, Pepin JF, Saulnier D, Tourbiez D, Renault T (2011) Experimental  
725 ostreid herpesvirus 1 infection of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*: kinetics of virus DNA  
726 detection by q-PCR in seawater and in oyster samples. *Virus Res.* 155, 28–34.  
727

728 Schrauwen EJA, Fouchier RAM (2014) Host adaptation and transmission of influenza A  
729 viruses in mammals. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* 3.  
730

731 Segarra A, Pépin, JF, Arzul I, Morga B, Faury N, Renault T (2010) Detection and description  
732 of a particular Ostreid herpesvirus 1 genotype associated with massive mortality outbreaks of  
733 Pacific oysters, *Crassostrea gigas*, in France in 2008. *Virus Res.* 153, 92-99.  
734

735 Small HJ, Pagenkopp KM (2011) Reservoirs and alternate hosts for pathogens of commercially  
736 important crustaceans: A review. *J Invertebr Pathol.* 106, 1, 153–164.  
737

738 Stevens M, Lown AE, Wood LE (2014) Camouflage and Individual Variation in Shore Crabs  
739 (*Carcinus maenas*) from Different Habitats. *PLoS ONE*, 9(12): e115586. doi:10.1371/journal.  
740 pone.0115586  
741

742 Torchin ME, Lafferty KD, Kuris AM (2001) Release from parasites as natural enemies:  
743 increased performance of a globally introduced marine crab. *Biol Invasions*. 3, 4, 333-345.

744

745 Torchin ME, Lafferty KD, Kuris AM (2002) Parasites and marine invasions. *Parasitology*. 124,  
746 S137–S151. 4

747

748 Vigneron V, Sollic G, Montanie H, Renault T (2004) Detection of ostreid herpesvirus 1  
749 (OsHV-1) DNA in seawater by PCR: influence of water parameters in bioassays. *Dis. Aquat.*  
750 *Org.* 62, 35–44.

751

752 Walsh PS, Metzger DA, Higuchi R (1991) Chelex 100 as a medium for simple extraction of  
753 DNA for PCR-based typing from forensic material. *Biotechniques*. 10, 506 – 513.

754

755 Webb SC, Fidler A and Renault T (2007) Primers for PCR-based detection of ostreid herpes  
756 virus-1 (OsHV-1): Application in a survey of New Zealand molluscs. *Aquaculture*, 272, 126-  
757 139.

758

759 Willman R, Kieran K, Arnason R, Franz N (2009) *The Sunken Billions: The Economic*  
760 *Justification for Fisheries Reform*. Washington, DC: World Bank and FAO

761

## 762 **ONLINE REFERENCES**

763 www<sup>1</sup>: Bivalife. 2010. Improving European mollusc aquaculture: disease detection and  
764 management. Deliverable D6.7 Final dissemination report  
765 [http://www.bivalife.eu/content/download/79799/1014051/file/BIVALIFE%20%20Deliverabl](http://www.bivalife.eu/content/download/79799/1014051/file/BIVALIFE%20%20Deliverable%20D6%207%20-%20Final%20dissemination%20report.pdf)  
766 [e%20D6%207%20-%20Final%20dissemination%20report.pdf](http://www.bivalife.eu/content/download/79799/1014051/file/BIVALIFE%20%20Deliverable%20D6%207%20-%20Final%20dissemination%20report.pdf) Last accessed on 21 April 2016



767 [www<sup>2</sup>:https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/protected-sites/synopsis/SY004032.pdf](https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/protected-sites/synopsis/SY004032.pdf) Last  
768 accessed on 21 February 2017  
769  
770 [www<sup>3</sup>:https://www.afbini.gov.uk/sites/afbini.gov.uk/files/publications/%5Bcurrent-](https://www.afbini.gov.uk/sites/afbini.gov.uk/files/publications/%5Bcurrent-domain%3Aa-machine-name%5D/Carlingford%20Lough.pdf)  
771 [domain%3Aa-machine-name%5D/Carlingford%20Lough.pdf](https://www.afbini.gov.uk/sites/afbini.gov.uk/files/publications/%5Bcurrent-domain%3Aa-machine-name%5D/Carlingford%20Lough.pdf) Last accessed on 21 February  
772 2017  
773  
774 [www<sup>4</sup>:  
775 https://www.fishhealth.ie/FHU/sites/default/files/FHU\\_Files/Documents/Oshv1submissionfo](https://www.fishhealth.ie/FHU/sites/default/files/FHU_Files/Documents/Oshv1submissionfordiseasefreestatusupdated27112015.pdf)  
776 [rdiseasefreestatusupdated27112015.pdf](https://www.fishhealth.ie/FHU/sites/default/files/FHU_Files/Documents/Oshv1submissionfordiseasefreestatusupdated27112015.pdf) Last accessed on 2 February 2018  
777  
778 [www<sup>5</sup>: https://www.seatemperature.org/europe/ireland/](https://www.seatemperature.org/europe/ireland/) Last accessed on 9 February 2018  
779 [www<sup>6</sup>: http://www.nhm.ac.uk/content/dam/nhmwww/our-science/dpts-facilities-](http://www.nhm.ac.uk/content/dam/nhmwww/our-science/dpts-facilities-staff/Coreresearchlabs/nanodrop.pdf)  
780 [staff/Coreresearchlabs/nanodrop.pdf](http://www.nhm.ac.uk/content/dam/nhmwww/our-science/dpts-facilities-staff/Coreresearchlabs/nanodrop.pdf)  
781  
782 [www<sup>7</sup>: http://www.eurl-mollusc.eu/content/download/42545/578238/file/OsHV-](http://www.eurl-mollusc.eu/content/download/42545/578238/file/OsHV-)  
783  
784 [www<sup>8</sup>:  
785 http://www.met.ie/climate/irish-climate-monthly-summary.asp](http://www.met.ie/climate/irish-climate-monthly-summary.asp) - Last accessed on 7 February  
786 2018  
787  
788 OIE – Manual of Diagnostic Tests for Aquatic Animals: Infection with ostreid herpesvirus 1  
789 microvariants  
790 ([www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health\\_standards/aahm/current/chapitre\\_ostreid\\_herpesvir](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/aahm/current/chapitre_ostreid_herpesvirus_1.pdf)  
791 [us\\_1.pdf](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/aahm/current/chapitre_ostreid_herpesvirus_1.pdf)). Last accessed on 2 February 2018

## TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1. Weight and carapace width data for *Carcinus maenas* at the high shore and at oyster trestles in Dungarvan and Carlingford Lough.

	Average weight (gram)	Weight range (gram)	Average carapace width (mm)	Carapace width range (mm)
Dungarvan	4.2 ± 0.2	0.21 - 26.4	24.8 ± 0.4	9.8 - 50.5
High Shore	2.2 ± 0.4	0.21 - 23.7	20.1 ± 0.9	9.8 - 50.5
Trestle	4.6 ± 0.2	0.34 - 26.4	25.8 ± 0.4	11.9 - 49.4
Carlingford Lough	11.2 ± 0.5	0.23 - 52.0	33.5 ± 0.5	9.4 - 64.1
High Shore	11.3 ± 0.7	0.29 - 52.0	33.7 ± 0.7	10.5 - 64.1
Trestle	11.2 ± 0.7	0.23 - 47.5	33.4 ± 0.7	9.4 - 63.2

Table 2. Prevalence of OsHV-1 µVar by PCR in *Carcinus maenas* gill and internal tissues at the oyster trestles and high shore at Dungarvan and Carlingford Lough.

	Trestle		High Shore	
	Prevalence gill	Prevalence internal	Prevalence gill	Prevalence internal
Dungarvan	35.6% (n=96/270)	5.6% (n=15/270)	21.6% (n=13/60)	1.7% (n=1/60)
Carlingford Lough	27.7% (n=66/238)	6.3% (n=15/238)	29.4% (n=70/238)	0% (n=0/238)

Table 3. Mean viral copies  $\mu\text{l}^{-1}$  of genomic DNA in samples of *Carcinus maenas* collected from the culture sites and deemed positive for OsHV-1  $\mu\text{Var}$  by PCR - =no samples screened.


	$< 10^2$	$10^2\text{-}10^4$	$>10^4$
<b>Gill tissue</b>			
Dungarvan High Shore	100% (n=2)	0%	0%
Dungarvan Trestle	94.7% (n=18)	5.3% (n=1)	0%
Carlingford Lough High Shore	66.6% (n=4)	33.3% (n=2)	0%
Carlingford Lough Trestle	75.0% (n=6)	25.0% (n=2)	0%
<b>Internal tissue</b>			
Dungarvan High Shore	-	-	-
Dungarvan Trestle	66.6%(n=4)	16.7% (n=1)	16.7% (n=1)
Carlingford Lough High Shore	-	-	-
Carlingford Lough Trestle	100% (n=2)	0%	0%

Table 4. Prevalence of OsHV-1  $\mu\text{Var}$  in *Crassostrea gigas* and *Carcinus maenas* by PCR in the initial sample and experimental sample of laboratory transmission trial.

	Prevalence gill by PCR	Prevalence internal by PCR
Initial <i>C. gigas</i>	0% (n=0/30)	-
Initial <i>C. maenas</i>	10% (n=3/30)	0% (n=0/30)
Experimental <i>C. gigas</i>	6.5 % (n=5/77)	-
Experimental <i>C. maenas</i>	75.0% (n=21/28)	0 % (n=0/28)




*Figure 1. Crassostrea gigas culture site at Dungarvan, Co. Waterford and Carlingford Lough, Co. Louth, Ireland.*



*Figure 2: Average water temperature for Dungarvan and Carlingford Lough*


837 A



838

839

840 B




841

842 Figure 3: Prevalence of *OsHV-1*  $\mu$ Var in Dungarvan (A) and Carlingford Lough (B) for gill


843 and internal tissues of *C. maenas* at high shore and trestles per month

844


845



846 A



847 B



848 C




849 D

850 *Figure 4: ISH staining of OsHV-1 μVar infected blood cells (dark blue) in connective tissue*  
 851 *(Digestive Tract) of C. maenas naturally exposed to an OsHV-1 μVar endemic area (A + B*  
 852 *+C) and uninfected tissue (D).*



853



854

855 *Figure 5: Overall cumulative mortality rates of experimental tanks with C. gigas and C.*

856 *maenas (derived from grouping observa*